

**INDEX OF A REGULAR MEETING
FORT LAUDERDALE CITY COMMISSION
MAY 2, 2000**

<u>Agenda Item</u>	<u>Reso./Ord. No.</u>	<u>Page(s)</u>
OB	Presentations:	
	1. Smoke Detector Test	1
	2. "Drinking Water Week"	2
	3. "Nurses Week and Travel Nurses Day"	2
	4. "National Safe Boating Week"	2
	5. "Family Appreciation Day"	2
	6. Outstanding City Employees of the Month	2
CA	Consent Agenda	3
M-1	Event Agreement – Worrell 1000 Sailboat Race	3
M-2	Event Agreement – Great Strides	4
M-3	Event Agreement – Hospice Regatta 2000 Clambake	4
M-4	Disbursement of Funds/Joint Investigation – O.R. No. 99-103919 - \$47,656	4
M-5	Disbursement of Funds/Joint Investigation – O.R. No.99-32005 - \$6,271.94	4
M-6	Disbursement of Funds/Joint Investigation – O.R. No. 99-114321 - \$21,271.20	4
M-7	Disbursement of Funds/Joint Investigation – O.R. No.97-148071 - \$15,153.60	5
M-8	Disbursement of Funds/Joint Investigation – O.R. No. 97-148072 - \$29,313.60	5
M-9	Lease Agreement – Broward County Library – Mizell Community Center	5
M-10	Nonprofit Acquisition and Improvement Loan (NAIL) Program – Women in Distress, Garden Club, Broward County Community Development Corporation, and 100 Black Men of Broward County	5 & 25
M-11	Permanent Position – Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) Project Manager	6
M-12	Contract Award – Paramount Engineering, Inc. – Project 9973 – Riverside Park Entranceway Monument NCIP Project	6
M-13	Memorandum of Understanding – Motorola – Public Safety Mobile Reporting Project (Forte Laptop Units/Panasonic CF-27 Laptop Units)	6 & 27
M-14	Transfer of General Fund Contingencies and Donation of Permit Fees and Inspection Charges – City of Fort Lauderdale Housing Authority – Sanitary Sewer Repairs	6 & 27
M-15	Change Order No. 6 – Interstate Engineering Corp. – Project 9365A – G. T. Lohmeyer Sludge Dewatering Facility Renovations	7 & 28
M-16	Second Amendment to Agreement – South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD) – Alternate Water Supply Grant	7

Index of a Regular Meeting
May 2, 2000
Page Two

<u>Agenda Item</u>	<u>Reso./Ord. No.</u>		<u>Page(s)</u>
M-17		Task Order No. 4 – Westin Engineering – Project 0260 – Pumping Station Assessment	7
M-18		Task Order No. 9 – Hazen & Sawyer – Project 9918 – Fiveash Water Treatment Plant Piping Consolidation	7 & 29
M-19		Purchase of Property – Wesley Lovett – Wingate Road Site Remediation Project – North Side of the 2900 Block of N.W. 13 th Street	8
M-20		Purchase of Property – Beulah M. Williams – Wingate Road Site Remediation Project – 2929 N.W. 13 th Street	8 & 29
M-21		Purchase of Property – Dennis R. Wood, Trustee – Wingate Road Site Remediation Project – 2941 N.W. 13 th Street	8 & 29
M-22		HOPWA Program Amendment – Health Center Complex/Broward House	8
M-23		Agreement – School Board of Broward County – School Resource Officers for School Year 2000/2001	9
M-24		Purchase of Property – Johnnie and Gladys McIntyre – Wingate Road Site Remediation Project – 2901 N.W. 15 th Court	9
M-25		Police Professional Liability Settlement – File No. PPL 94-870 (William Truman Gray, Jr.)	13 & 29
Pur. 1		State Bid – Software Upgrades for Microsoft 2000 Citywide	10
Pur. 2		Proprietary – Software Support and Optional Consulting Services Agreements	10
Pur. 3		State Bid – Various Computer Network Related Equipment and Services	10
Pur. 4		Proprietary – Automated Timekeeping Software and Hardware	11
Pur. 5		Proprietary – Automated Staffing Software	11
Pur. 6		Bid 792-8116 – Janitorial Services	11
Pur. 7		Proprietary – 12 Replacement Pumps for Pumping Stations	12
Pur. 8		Hollywood Bid – Sludge Hauling	12
PH-1		Permanent Road Modification – Northeast 5 th Terrace, North of Northeast 13 th Street	25
PH-2		Road Modification or Traffic Modifications – Temporary or Permanent – Vicinity of the Intersection of Seminole Drive and Northeast 11 th Street	14
O-1	C-00-23	Amend Ordinance No. C-98-8 – Peninsular Properties (PZ Case No. 92-R-97)	30
O-2	C-00-24	Amendment to the Pay Plan	30

Index of a Regular Meeting
May 2, 2000
Page Three

<u>Agenda Item</u>	<u>Reso./Ord. No.</u>		<u>Page(s)</u>
O-3	C-00-25	Amend Section 47-3 – Nonconforming Uses, Structures, and Lots – Development Review Committee Thresholds for Change of Use Applications (PZ Case No. 1-T-00a)	31
R-1	00-55	Vacate Drainage Easement – Palmdale Realty Associates – (PZ Case No. 7-M-99)	32
R-2	00-56	Enhancement Fund Applications and Priority – Broward County Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO)	33
R-3	00-57	Extension of the Internet Moratorium/ Internet Tax Freedom Act of 1998	33
R-4	00-58	Emergency Room Facility on Barrier Island (Beach) – Closing of Cleveland Clinic	34
OB		State Road 84 – Pending Items List	34

**MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING
CITY COMMISSION
FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA
MAY 2, 2000**

Meeting was called to order at 6:20 P.M. by Mayor Naugle on the above date, City Commission Meeting Room.

Roll call showed:

Present:	Commissioner Gloria F. Katz Commissioner Carlton B. Moore Commissioner Cindi Hutchinson Commissioner Tim Smith Mayor Jim Naugle
----------	---

Absent:	None
---------	------

Also Present:

City Manager	F. T. Johnson
City Attorney	Dennis E. Lyles
City Clerk	Lucy Masliah
Sergeant at Arms	Sgt. Abramsen

Invocation was offered by *Pastor Connie Bramlett*, First Lutheran Church.

Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

Motion made by Commissioner Smith and seconded by Commissioner Moore that the agenda and minutes of the meetings as shown below be approved:

April 11 and 18, 2000

Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Katz, Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle.
NAYS: none.

NOTE: All items were presented by Mayor Naugle unless otherwise shown, and all those desiring to be heard were heard. Items discussed are identified by the agenda number for reference. Items not on the agenda carry the description "OB" (Other Business).

Presentations (OB)

1. Smoke Detector Test

Commissioner Moore demonstrated the proper method of testing the batteries in a smoke detector and encouraged everyone at home to do the same.

2. "Drinking Water Week"

Commissioner Katz read aloud and presented a Proclamation declaring May 7 to 13, 2000 as "Drinking Water Week" in the City of Fort Lauderdale. Ms. Rose Klarman accepted the Proclamation on behalf of the Public Services Department and introduced the elementary school winners of the 2000 Drop Savers Poster Contest. She explained that the purpose of the contest was to teach children about water conservation and the need to protect this most precious resource.

3. "Nurses Week and Travel Nurses Day"

Commissioner Smith read aloud and presented a Proclamation declaring May 6 to 12, 2000 as "Nurses Week," and May 7, 2000 as "Travel Nurses Day" in the City of Fort Lauderdale in recognition of the many ways in which nurses provided safe and high-quality patient care to the community. Mr. Ralph Egues accepted the Proclamation on behalf of Preferred Healthcare Staffing. He expressed his appreciation to the Commission and stated that more than 400 additional nurses had been brought in to supplement services at many hospitals in South Florida.

4. "National Safe Boating Week"

Commissioner Hutchinson read aloud and presented a Proclamation declaring May 20 to 26, 2000 as "National Safe Boating Week" in the City of Fort Lauderdale. Ms. Judith Hasenauer accepted the Proclamation on behalf of the Coast Guard Auxiliary. She expressed her appreciation and reported that Florida led the nation in boats and boating accidents, so it was critical to get the word out that boating safely was a top priority for everyone in the community.

5. "Family Appreciation Day"

Commissioner Moore read aloud and presented a Proclamation declaring May 2, 2000 as "Family Appreciation Day" in the City of Fort Lauderdale. Dr. Phyllis Scott accepted the Proclamation on behalf of the Florida Department of Children and Families. She expressed her appreciation to the Commission and to the many foster parents who spent endless hours opening their hearts and homes to children in crisis. Dr. Scott introduced Ms. Linda Day, President of the Foster Care Association, and pointed out that everyone in the community could have a positive influence on the lives of children.

6. Outstanding City Employees of the Month

The City Manager introduced Department Directors to present the Outstanding City Employees of the Month:

- Detective Mark Breen and Sandra Knutten, of the Police Department;
- Margaret Williams-Francis, of the Administrative Services Department;
- Leo Hooper and Dale Lally, of the Public Services Department;
- Leonard Stutz, of the Parks & Recreation Department; and
- Karen Senko, of the Finance Department.

CONSENT AGENDA (CA)

The following items were listed on the agenda for approval as recommended. The City Manager reviewed each item, and observations were made as shown. The following statement was read:

CONSENT AGENDA

Those matters included under the Consent Agenda are self-explanatory and are not expected to require review or discussion. Items will be enacted by one motion; if discussion on an item is desired by any City Commissioner or member of the public, however, that item may be removed from the Consent Agenda and considered separately.

Event Agreement – Worrell 1000 Sailboat Race**(M-1)**

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute an Insurance, Indemnification, and Hold Harmless Agreement with **Worrell 1000 Inc.** to indemnify, protect, and hold harmless the City from any liability in connection with the start of the **Worrell 1000 Sailboat Race** to be held **Monday, May 8, 2000 from 10:00 a.m. to 10:30 a.m.** at South Beach.

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-582 from City Manager.

CONSENT AGENDA

Event Agreement – Great Strides**(M-2)**

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute an Insurance, Indemnification, and Hold Harmless Agreement with the **Cystic Fibrosis Foundation** to indemnify, protect, and hold harmless the City from any liability in connection with **Great Strides** to be held on **Saturday, May 20, 2000 from 8:30 a.m. to 12:00 noon** at Jack Kaye Park.

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-578 from City Manager.

Event Agreement – Hospice Regatta 2000 Clambake**(M-3)**

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute an Insurance, Indemnification, and Hold Harmless Agreement with **Hospice Care of Broward County Inc.** to indemnify, protect, and hold harmless the City from any liability in connection with the **Hospice Regatta 2000 Clambake** to be held on **Saturday, May 20, 2000 from 6:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.** at Esplanade; and further authorizing the closing of S.E. 4 Avenue from just south of the old post office parking lot on S.W. 2 Street to the cul-de-sac at Riverwalk from 3:00 p.m. to 12:00 midnight on May 20, 2000.

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-601 from City Manager.

Disbursement of Funds/**Joint Investigation – O. R. No. 99-103919 - \$47,656 U.S. Currency****(M-4)**

A motion authorizing the equitable disbursement of funds, with each of the 17 participating law enforcement agency to receive \$2,803.30.

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-4-8 from Police Legal Advisor.

Disbursement of Funds/**Joint Investigation – O. R. No. 99-32005 - \$6,271.94 U.S. Currency****(M-5)**

A motion authorizing the equitable disbursement of funds, with each of the 17 participating law enforcement agency to receive \$368.93.

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-4-9 from Police Legal Advisor.

Disbursement of Funds/**Joint Investigation – O. R. No. 99-114321 - \$21,271.20 U.S. Currency****(M-6)**

A motion authorizing the equitable disbursement of funds, with each of the 17 participating law enforcement agency to receive \$1,251.24.

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-4-10 from Police Legal Advisor.

CONSENT AGENDA

Disbursement of Funds/**Joint Investigation – O. R. No. 97-148071 - \$15,153.60 U.S. Currency****(M-7)**

A motion authorizing the equitable disbursement of funds, with each of the 20 participating law enforcement agency to receive \$757.68.

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-4-11 from Police Legal Advisor.

Disbursement of Funds/**Joint Investigation – O. R. No. 97-148072 - \$29,313.60 U.S. Currency****(M-8)**

A motion authorizing the equitable disbursement of funds, with each of the 20 participating law enforcement agency to receive \$1,465.68.

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-4-12 from Police Legal Advisor.

Lease Agreement –**Broward County Library – Mizell Community Center****(M-9)**

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute a lease agreement with the Broward County Library for the branch at the Mizell Community Center.

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-244 from City Manager.

Nonprofit Acquisition and Improvement Loan (NAIL) Program –**Women In Distress, Garden Club, Broward County Community Development Corporation, and 100 Black Men of Broward County****(M-10)**

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute NAIL Agreements with Women In Distress, Garden Club, Broward County Community Development Corporation, and 100 Black Men of Broward County for the total amount of \$458,439.

Funds: See Memo

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-220 from City Manager.

CONSENT AGENDA

**Permanent Position –
Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) Project Manager****(M-11)**

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to create a full-time, permanent position for a CRA Project Manager; and further authorizing the transfer of \$41,500 from undesignated Capital Improvement Tax Increment Funds (TIF) (FD106.02, subobject 9699) to MGR040202, subobject 1101 (Special Projects, Northwest-Progreso-Flagler Heights).

Funds: See Memo

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-608 from City Manager.

**Contract Award – Paramount Engineering, Inc. -
Project 9973 – Riverside Park Entranceway Monument NCIP Project****(M-12)**

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute an agreement with Paramount Engineering, Inc. in the amount of \$25,798.10 for the Riverside Park Entranceway Monument NCIP project.

Funds: See Memo

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-619 from City Manager.

**Memorandum of Understanding – Motorola – Public Safety Mobile
Reporting Project (Forte Laptop Units/Panasonic CF-27 Laptop Units)****(M-13)**

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute a Memorandum of Understanding with Motorola to replace the Forte laptop units with Panasonic CF-27 laptop units. On April 18, 2000, the City Commission tabled consideration of this item.

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-612 from City Manager; and
Memo No. 00-560 from City Manager.

**Transfer of General Fund Contingencies and
Donation of Permit Fees and Inspection Charges -
City of Fort Lauderdale Housing Authority – Sanitary Sewer Repairs****(M-14)**

A motion authorizing the transfer of a total of \$28,032.50 from General Fund Contingencies (\$15,532.50 for plumbing permit fees and \$12,500 for engineering inspection costs) to the Public Services Department for sanitary sewer repair work for the City of Fort Lauderdale Housing Authority.

Funds: See Memo

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-624 from City Manager.

CONSENT AGENDA

**Change Order No. 6 – Interstate Engineering Corporation -
Project 9365A – G. T. Lohmeyer Sludge Dewatering Facility Renovations** (M-15)

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute Change Order No. 6 with Interstate Engineering Corporation in the amount of \$37,858.99 for additional work associated with the G. T. Lohmeyer Sludge Dewatering Facility renovations.

Funds: See Memo

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-590 from City Manager.

**Second Amendment to Agreement – South Florida
Water Management District (SFWMD) – Alternate Water Supply Grant** (M-16)

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute the second amendment to the agreement with the SFWMD to extend the grant period to May 11, 2001 and increase the grant amount to \$297,250.

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-589 from City Manager.

**Task Order No. 4 -
Westin Engineering – Project 0260 – Pumping Station Assessment** (M-17)

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute Task Order No. 4 with Westin Engineering in the amount of \$113,418 for the pumping station assessment project.

Funds: See Memo

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-586 from City Manager.

**Task Order No. 9 – Hazen and Sawyer -
Project 9918 – Fiveash Water Treatment Plant Piping Consolidation** (M-18)

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute Task Order No. 9 with Hazen and Sawyer in the amount of \$84,300 for the Fiveash Water Treatment Plant piping consolidation project.

Funds: See Memo

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-501 from City Manager.

CONSENT AGENDA

**Purchase of Property – Wesley Lovett – Wingate Road Site
Remediation Project – North Side of the 2900 Block of N.W. 13 Street (M-19)**

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute a purchase agreement with Wesley Lovett to purchase property located on the north side of the 2900 Block of N.W. 13 Street (Lot 3, Block 69, Washington Park, 7th Addition, P.B. 39/10B) in the amount of \$10,000, plus estimated closing costs and taxes of \$1,000.

Funds: See Memo

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-467 from City Manager.

**Purchase of Property – Beulah M. Williams -
Wingate Road Site Remediation Project – 2929 N.W. 13 Street (M-20)**

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute a purchase agreement with Beulah M. Williams to purchase property located at 2929 N.W. 13 Street (Lot 1, Block 69, Washington Park, 7th Addition, P.B. 39/10B) in the amount of \$65,000, plus estimated closing costs and taxes of \$2,000.

Funds: See Memo

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-468 from City Manager.

**Purchase of Property – Dennis R. Wood, Trustee -
Wingate Road Site Remediation Project – 2941 N.W. 13 Street (M-21)**

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute a purchase agreement with Dennis R. Wood, Trustee, to purchase property located at 2941 N.W. 13 Street (Lot 4, Block 69, Washington Park, 7th Addition, P.B. 39/10B) in the amount of \$91,300, plus estimated closing costs and taxes of \$1,500.

Funds: See Memo

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-473 from City Manager.

HOPWA Program Amendment – Health Center Complex/Broward House (M-22)

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to reprogram \$803,216 in leftover Broward County funds and \$60,958 of project based rent funds allocated for the Health Center Complex on S.W. 15 Street, for a total of \$864,174, to Broward House; and further authorizing the proper City officials to execute all necessary documents for the proposed program amendment and to utilize such funds. On April 18, 2000, the City Commission deferred consideration of this item to May 2, 2000 by a vote of 4-1 (Hutchinson).

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-604 from City Manager; and
Memo No. 00-500 from City Manager.

CONSENT AGENDA

**Agreement – School Board of
Broward County – School Resource Officers for School Year 2000/2001**

(M-23)

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute an agreement with the School Board of Broward County regarding school resource officers for the school year 2000/2001.

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-516 from City Manager.

**Purchase of Property – Johnnie and Gladys McIntyre -
Wingate Road Site Remediation Project – 2901 N.W. 15 Court**

(M-24)

A motion authorizing the proper City officials to execute a purchase agreement with Johnnie and Gladys McIntyre, to purchase property located at 2901 N.W. 15 Court (Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 49, "Washington Park," 4th Addition, P.B. 22/44) in the amount of \$110,000, plus estimated closing costs and taxes of \$3,500.

Funds: See Memo

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-475 from City Manager.

PURCHASING AGENDA

<u>Bid No.</u>	<u>Item/Service</u>	<u>Low Responsible Bidder</u>	<u>Amount</u>
			<u>Pur-1</u>
State	Purchase of software upgrades for Microsoft 2000 Citywide	Software House International Somerset, NJ	\$ 198,628.00
(estimated)	Admin. Serv./Info. Systems		

Bids Solicited/Received: N/A

Exhibits: Memorandum No. 00-546 from City Manager

Remarks: The Purchasing Division has reviewed this item and agrees with the recommendation.

Recomm: Approve purchase of software upgrades from Florida State Contract.

			<u>Pur-2</u>
Prop	One year renewal of software support and optional consulting	KPMG Peat Marwick St. Petersburg, FL	\$145,700.00
(estimated)	services agreements Admin. Serv./Info. Systems		

Bids Solicited/Received: N/A

Exhibits: Memorandum No. 00-556 from City Manager

Remarks: The Purchasing Division has reviewed this item and agrees with the recommendation.

Recomm: Approve proprietary purchase.

			<u>Pur-3</u>
State	Purchase of various computer network related equipment and services	IKON Technology Services Ft. Lauderdale, FL Dell Marketing, L.P. Round Rock, TX	\$ 94,656.00
	Admin. Serv./Info. Systems		18,836.00
			\$113,492.00
			(estimated)

Bids Solicited/Received: N/A

Exhibits: Memorandum No. 00-566 from City Manager

Remarks: The Purchasing Division has reviewed this item and agrees with the recommendation.

Recomm: Approve purchases from Florida State Contracts.

PURCHASING AGENDA

<u>Bid No.</u>	<u>Item/Service</u>	<u>Low Responsible Bidder</u>	<u>Amount</u>
			<u>Pur-4</u>
Prop 30,440.00	Purchase of additional automated timekeeping software and hardware Admin. Serv./Parking	Kronos, Inc. Waltham, MA	\$ (estimated)

Bids Solicited/Received: N/A

Exhibits: Memorandum No. 00-555 from City Manager

Remarks: The Purchasing Division has reviewed this item and agrees with the recommendation.

Transfer of funds from Parking Retained Earnings to Other Equipment
(ADM030701-6499).

Recomm: Approve proprietary purchase with funds transfer.

			<u>Pur-5</u>
Prop	Purchase of Automated Staffing Software Fire-Rescue	Principal Decision Systems International Irvine, CA	\$ 62,688.00 (estimated)

Bids Solicited/Received: N/A

Exhibits: Memorandum No. 00-496 from City Manager

Remarks: The Purchasing Division has reviewed this item and agrees with the recommendation.

Recomm: Approve proprietary purchase.

			<u>Pur-6</u>
792-8116	Cancel & re-award one year contract for janitorial services Parks & Recreation	Bright Maintenance, Inc. (WBE) Ft. Lauderdale, FL	\$ 43,888.00 (estimated annual total)

Bids Solicited/Received: 129/17 with 2 no bids

Exhibits: Memorandum No. 00-569 from City Manager

Remarks: The Purchasing Division has reviewed this item and agrees with the recommendation.

Recomm: Cancel contract and re-award to next low responsive and responsible bidder.

PURCHASING AGENDA

Bid No.	Item/Service	Low Responsible Bidder	Amount
			<u>Pur-7</u>
Prop	Purchase of twelve replacement pumps for pumping stations Public Services	Sanders Company, Inc. Stuart, FL	\$129,468.00

Bids Solicited/Received: N/A

Exhibits: Memorandum No. 00-575 from City Manager

Remarks: The Purchasing Division has reviewed this item and agrees with the recommendation.

Recomm: Approve proprietary purchase.

			<u>Pur-8</u>
City of Hollywood (estimated)	Four month trial contract for sludge hauling Public Services	Azurix/J&J Baker Enterprises, Inc. Punta Gorda, FL	\$292,900.00

Bids Solicited/Received: N/A

Exhibits: Memorandum No. 00-447 from City Manager

Remarks: The Purchasing Division has reviewed this item and agrees with the recommendation.

Recomm: Approve trial contract from City of Hollywood Contract.

MOTIONS

Those matters included under the Motions category differ from the Consent Agenda in that items will be voted on individually. In addition, presentations will be made on each motion item if so desired.

**Police Professional
Liability Settlement – File No. PPL 94-870 (William Truman Gray Jr.)
(M-25)**

A motion authorizing the settlement of Police Professional Liability File No. PPL 94-870 (William Truman Gray Jr.) in the amount of \$50,000.

Recommend: Motion to approve.

Exhibit: Memo No. 00-600 from City Manager.

Motion made by Commissioner Moore and seconded by Commissioner Smith that Consent Agenda Item Nos. M-10, M-13, M-14, M-15, M-18, M-20 and M-21 be deleted from the Consent Agenda and considered separately, and that all remaining Consent Agenda Items be approved as recommended. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Katz, Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

**Road Modification or Traffic Modifications,
Temporary or Permanent – Vicinity of the
Intersection of Seminole Drive and Northeast 11th Street (PH-2)**

A public hearing was scheduled to consider a road modification or traffic modifications, temporary or permanent, in the vicinity of the intersection of Seminole Drive and Northeast 11th Street. Notice of the public hearing was published on April 20 and 27, 2000.

Mayor Naugle called for those who wished to be heard. The following appeared:

Mr. Hector Castro, City Engineer, stated that a temporary road closure had been installed in the subject location, and the original concept for the design had been a diagonal diverter in the intersection. In the process, however, some difficulties had been encountered so a trial road closure had been installed just north of the intersection on a temporary basis. Mr. Castro submitted a photograph of the temporary closure consisting of some pots and flexible sticks.

Mr. Castro stated that staff had been dealing with six different neighborhood or community groups, which were probably all represented at this hearing. In discussing this subject with them, most of the residents in the Seminole Drive neighborhood seemed to favor this road closure. He advised that the general consensus was that the temporary, trial closure had been successful, and traffic along Seminole Drive and within the neighborhood seemed improved. By contrast, the Coral Ridge Yacht Club was strongly opposed to the closure due to access to Sunrise Boulevard.

Mr. Castro referred to the Sunrise Bay neighborhood. He stated that the neighborhood seemed to see the benefit of the road closure, but they were not fond of the current appearance or location. That neighborhood suggested some more permanent, landscaped type of closure. Mr. Castro stated that the Stiles Corporation seemed neutral, although it had contributed approximately \$30,000 to the civic association to make certain neighborhood improvements to offset the impact of its development. It, too, was concerned about the aesthetics of the road closure and preferred a more permanent closure.

Mr. Castro stated that the shopping center was not opposed to a road closure on the south side of the intersection provided access to the shopping center from the east was not restricted. He explained that as a plat requirement when Sunrise Harbor had been platted, the County had required the developer to construct a median along Seminole Drive from Sunrise Boulevard north for about 150'. Mr. Castro said that would preclude a left turn movement from northbound Seminole Drive into the shopping center. He presented a map to delineate this complication.

Mr. Castro reported that staff had been working with Broward County Engineering, and a verbal agreement had been reached to consider an alternate design that could benefit everyone. That design would shorten the median to allow access to the northernmost driveway to the shopping center and move the lane to the west in order to provide two northbound lanes on Seminole Drive and two southbound lanes. Mr. Castro stated that in order to implement this design, a plat modification would be required, and that would take time.

Mr. Castro stated that the Coral Ridge Civic Association had indicated it favored the closure when the temporary trial period had been suggested. Since that time, the Association had reversed its position and was no longer in favor of the closure. He believed the Association preferred to take a "wait and see" approach based on future traffic studies. Mr. Castro stated that a petition containing over 600 names had been submitted by the Association in opposition to any road closure on Seminole Drive at this time.

Mr. Castro recommended that the existing road closure be relocated to the south side of the intersection of Seminole Drive and Northeast 11th Street. Staff also recommended construction of a permanent type of closure involving removal of asphalt, curb and gutter, irrigation, and some landscaping. He stated that this recommendation was contingent upon the application of outside funds for the project. Mr. Castro advised that the Coral Ridge Civic Association still had \$29,000 remaining from the monies contributed by the Stiles Corporation.

Mr. Castro stated that in order to do this in a timely fashion, staff recommended use of the City's design/build contractor, RDC Corporation. They had indicated there would be about \$3,000 in design costs, and staff believed a permanent style closure could be provided for about \$26,000. Mr. Castro advised that staff also wanted to assist the shopping center to obtain the plat modification mentioned earlier to allow access through a shortened median.

Commissioner Smith said he had spoken with the owner of the Wild Oats Shopping Center, and he had indicated to him that this hearing would probably not start before 7:30 P.M. Due to the fact that the item had been taken out of order, he was not yet present.

Mr. John Aurelius stated that City staff had not done anything he felt was right. He said that the staff presentation this evening was based upon "win and lose." Mr. Aurelius hoped the Commission had the data and some reasoning because this had polarized the neighborhood with six different groups going in six different directions. He did not know if the closure should remain or not, but he had always felt that consensus had to be built among the impacted people in the area.

Mr. Aurelius said this entire issue had arisen a long time ago when people had thought the Sunrise Bay building would be demolished. Neighbors had been speeding on Seminole Drive; construction vehicles were everywhere; and, sightseers used Seminole Drive. However, beyond 12th Street, there was not the usual cut through traffic. Mr. Aurelius noted that speed humps had been installed to address these concerns, but he wondered if it had been enough because he did not have the comparative data.

Mr. Aurelius stated that 11th Street had been the highest crime area in Coral Ridge, and he wondered if crime in that reporting zone had decreased. He thought the Commission should have this data and work toward a logical solution. Mr. Aurelius recalled that this issue had all been based upon the Galt Ocean Mile project, and a presentation had been made to 20 different buildings about the appearance of the area if people would pay a \$700 assessment. He presented a photograph of the current situation, which he felt was unattractive and an insult to the Stiles Corporation, which had invested millions of dollars in the area.

Mr. Aurelius thought the City should work with the associations to brick the area and provide an attractive entranceway. Once installed, staff should examine the data to determine if it slowed traffic or kept it out of the neighborhood. If that did not work, he thought automatic gates should be installed and the results examined. If that did not work, he felt a road closure should be considered. Mr. Aurelius pointed out that this process would have led to a conclusion based upon factual evidence. However, it seemed as if the Commission would be hearing six different points of view tonight determined by where people lived. Mr. Aurelius summarized that the Commission needed data and traffic counts to make these determinations in an informed, phased approach. If the end result was a street closure, he thought that would be fine, but he did not support an arbitrary decision to place closures without any data.

Mr. Edward Sullivan, a resident of Northeast 27th Street and a former President of the Coral Ridge Civic Association, did not believe the Commission had enough information to act at this point. He pointed out that there was a vacant building that would be filled, and traffic generation figures were needed. There were also numerous other projects underway within the neighborhood, and Mr. Sullivan felt this action would be premature. He did not understand why a civic association that was opposed to the closure would donate \$29,000 for the work, and he thought more attention should be paid to addressing traffic on Bayview Drive. Mr. Sullivan believed that traffic diverted by these closures would also end up on Bayview Drive.

Mr. Jack Trivisian stated that since the last hearing on this issue, high-speed traffic on Seminole Drive had been eliminated, and he had not had a crime on his property although he had often been the victim of crimes in the past. Mr. Trivisian said that he had not needed a daily litter patrol along his property since the closure had been put in place, and pedestrians had started to enjoy a residential atmosphere.

Mr. Trivisian said that while the closure had benefited Yacht Club Boulevard, Northeast 12th Street, and Northeast 11th Court, it had been a disadvantage on Northeast 11th Street, which now had all the cut through traffic. He explained that the intent had been to reclaim the residential neighborhood, and everyone had been working together for a couple of years. Mr. Trivisian wanted the quality of life on 11th Street restored as it had been restored for the rest of the neighborhood.

Mr. Trivisian referred to the phased approach suggested by Mr. Aurelius. He considered the speed humps Phase I and the closures on the north side as Phase II. He felt this closure would be Phase III, and this was not an idea that had been put forth at the last minute. Mr. Trivisian believed the problems had been well defined and documented over the past few years, and no one who lived in the area needed traffic studies to know the situation.

Commissioner Smith asked if consideration had been given to a guardhouse with "arms." Mr. Travisian replied that had been considered, along with other alternatives, and this idea had evolved after a lot of people attended a lot of meetings. He explained that this was not a one way in, one way out neighborhood. Further, it did not appear to be much of a deterrent and involved maintenance costs too. Mr. Travisian stated that this was a residential neighborhood, and he felt the bulk of the traffic should be contained on Sunrise Boulevard and Bayview Drive.

Mr. Bruce Knight said he supported the closure. Contrary to Mr. Aurelius' feelings, Mr. Knight said he had the utmost respect for City staff. He stated that there had been a tremendous amount of data generated, and the Planning & Zoning Board had been very concerned about this "monstrosity" of a project. Mr. Knight did not think anyone in the neighborhood had ever envisioned such a large project. He noted that Mr. Partington had pointed out that no single course of action would satisfy all the parties, but he felt the Villas of Sunrise Bay had suffered when the closure had been moved. Mr. Knight felt it was an eyesore and a terrible thing those residents had been forced to endure.

Mr. Knight advised that the residents of the Villas of Sunrise Bay supported the closure in the recommended south location. In addition, the Stiles Corporation had forwarded a closure plan to a landscape architect, who would work with the neighborhood on the closure. He stated that the Wild Oats Shopping Center did not oppose the closure, and he understood its concerns had been remedied. Further, the Seminoles Drive Homeowners' Association, which was the neighborhood that would be most affected, overwhelmingly supported this closure. Mr. Knight stated that the opposition came primarily from members of the Coral Ridge Yacht Club and residents from the Coral Ridge neighborhood who did not live in the impacted area. He believed these people would be inconvenienced because it would take them 30 seconds longer to go to the beach.

Mr. Knight agreed that aesthetics were a concern of everyone, and there was a team committed to address this issue with the help of a landscape architect and an engineering firm. He felt the recommended south location resulted in multiple travel options and would satisfy many of the concerns of the Coral Ridge Yacht Club. Mr. Knight acknowledged that a lot of people were concerned about safety on Bayview Drive, but a review of the records showed there had not been an accident since the trial closure had been put in place 8 months ago. Therefore, a lot of the perceived problems could not be substantiated.

Mr. Knight stated that emergency vehicle response time had been a concern, but the Fire Department had indicated that the affected area had a less than 4-minute response time, and the target for the City was 6 minutes. He advised that a team composed of representative from the Stiles Corporation, the Villas of Sunrise Bay, and the City were prepared to move forward swiftly to implement staff's recommendation. Mr. Knight encouraged the Commission to approve the closure at the recommended south location.

Ms. Harriet Kaye said she had lived in this neighborhood for 40 years, but she did not recognize most of the people present this evening.

Ms. Jeanne Littell, a resident of Yacht Club Boulevard, said she had been working with people in the neighborhood since 1992 toward some kind of traffic modification in the area. In fact, the idea of closing Bayview Drive had been considered. She stated that the temporary closure was ugly, but it did deter cut through traffic. Ms. Littell reported that 60 to 70 vehicles each day went over the flexible emergency sticks, and the problem with a guardhouse was the expense of the gate arms, which would probably have to be replaced very frequently.

Ms. Littell stated that the community had come to the Commission last summer after a lot of discussion, and they had asked for something. She did not believe the Commission would hear anything different now, and the Commission had agreed then that this was probably best for the community. The temporary closure had been installed, and Ms. Littell did not think anyone was going to say, "Give me back the crime and traffic." Now, she believed people were even more in favor of the closure because of how the temporary closure had affected the quality of life in the community.

Ms. Littell had learned there were children living in her neighborhood because they were out playing in the front yards now, and the incidents of crime had decreased. She had been robbed 6 times in 12 years, but not in the last past eight months. Ms. Littell asked everyone who lived in the Seminole Drive community who favored the closure south of 11th Street to stand. She stated that the community had worked hard for this street closure and asked the Commission not to take it away.

Ms. Betsy Dow, 2133 Middle River Drive, favored the closure of Seminole Drive. She thought that leaving it open did nothing but invite traffic into the Coral Ridge neighborhood. She believed the number of 6 trips per day had been estimated for the Stiles project for each of 300 units, for a total of 1,800 more cars going through the neighborhood each day. Ms. Dow saw no reason to go to the expense of another study, and she stated that the Coral Ridge Homeowners' Association had not held an open discussion with the general membership about this issue in the last six months. She thought it was important for the Commission to be aware of that fact. As another point of information, Ms. Dow stated that the President of the Coral Ridge Homeowners' Association had an apartment building on Seminole Drive, and the opening would be more convenient for the tenants.

Major General John Hefling said he was a member of the Coral Ridge Yacht Club and visited at least four times a week. He stated that everyone generally agreed that closure was a bad thing. He pointed out that the taxpayers had paid for the road, and he did not feel any small group had any business closing it. Major General Hefling felt people needed the traffic signal, especially at night, and cars could go more than 20 MPH over the speed humps. He thought the alternatives proposed by Mr. Aurelius were a good idea, including an automatic gate, making Seminole Drive one-way southbound, or placing speed humps on Seminole Drive like the ones on Bayview Drive north of Commercial Boulevard.

Mr. Dave Valerey said he had been a resident of Seminole Drive since 1980, and he had seen numerous changes on the street and in the immediate area. He felt these changes had improved the quality of life and enhanced property values until the Stiles project had been unveiled as a rental project and not a condominium. Mr. Valerey stated that the improvements made over two decades had been wiped out with the issuance of a single building permit. He felt that was intolerable, and it had mobilized the neighborhood. He felt the street closure seemed to be the only feasible way to protect the neighborhood from the chaos produced by a rental project. Mr. Valerey stated that rental owners had a different agenda than did a condominium association, and rental projects often had more individuals in each apartment to share expenses. He was in favor of the closure but preferred it be relocated south of 11th Street.

A resident of 11th Court believed the street should be closed because it was impossible to make a left onto Bayview Drive from his street. He stated that he sometimes had to wait more than ten minutes to make a turn to go to work, and that was not the worst inconvenience in the world, but he felt it was wrong. He believed placing the closure south of 11th Street would help because residents of 11th Court would be able to access Sunrise Boulevard and the shopping center.

Mr. Carl Shields, 2717 Yacht Club Boulevard, said he was President of the Sunrise Bay Association, which was completely opposed to the closure of Seminole Drive. He was also a member of the Coral Ridge Yacht Club, and he had been perplexed as to why the temporary closure had been installed. He pointed out that the Stiles project was still unoccupied, so no one knew what the impact would be one way or another. Mr. Shields also wondered where the previous speakers had come by the data mentioned because he had not seen any of the figures. He did not feel he could make an informed decision without that information, and he said he represented 31 people who lived on Yacht Club Boulevard who were opposed to the closure.

Mr. Mark Tereval, 2641 Yacht Club Boulevard, supported the closure of Seminole Drive south of 11th Street. He felt the City Commission should support staff's recommendation because they had gathered enough information to support it. Mr. Tereval said this was a dense area, and it needed help. He advised that Seminole Drive was used by shoppers at the Galleria Mall, and everyone knew it was the fastest route westbound over the bridge to head north. Mr. Tereval believed those who opposed the closure were those who lived on the Galt Ocean Mile, but the people who lived in the area felt differently.

Mr. Tereval pointed out that the Coral Ridge Homeowners' Association did not represent the views of those who lived in the immediate area, and there was such disagreement within the association that factions were splitting off. In fact, there had not even been an open hearing on this issue. He stated that this area was hit hard by all the special events held in the area, and now there would be another 410 units in the neighborhood. Mr. Tereval thought the City should have purchased the Stiles project site and turned it into a City park from which everyone could view the Boat Parade and other events. He said he was a member of the Coral Ridge Yacht Club but most of its members did not live in the immediate neighborhood. He acknowledged there was some inconvenience, but pedestrians were beginning to enjoy the neighborhood.

Mr. Brian Kilcullen, 1208 Seminole Drive, supported the closure on Seminole Drive south of 11th Street. He noted that the Stiles building had not been the sole reason for these closures, and the problems had been in existence for many years. Mr. Kilcullen acknowledged that the Stiles project had been the impetus of this effort, but many people used Seminole Drive as a cut through route. He stated that the quality of life in the area had vastly improved since installation of the temporary closure, and this plan had not been settled on lightly. Various other plans had been considered, and Mr. Kilcullen was sure there would never be a plan that would suit everybody, but hundreds of homeowners in the affected area wanted the road closed. He stated that the neighborhood had originally asked that the closure be placed south of 11th Street because that facilitated most of the traffic and minimized inconveniences.

Mr. George Glessner said he had been a resident of Seminole Drive for 25 years. He supported the closure, and all of his neighbors in the vicinity of Yacht Club Boulevard and Seminole Drive, supported it as well. Mr. Glessner stated that crime had diminished since the temporary closure had been installed.

Ms. Marie Loferno, 2701 Yacht Club Boulevard, said that the road outside her door had sounded like a racetrack before the temporary closure had been installed with brakes squealing and cars whizzing past. Since that time things had been much more quiet, and she felt a lot safer. Ms. Loferno supported the closure.

Ms. Harriet Kaye, President of the Coral Ridge Homeowners' Association, stated that the Association had been originally opposed to the closure, but Mr. Brian Leary had persuaded more people on the Board to support it when he had been the President. Ms. Kaye had never had a tenant on Seminole Drive complain about traffic before the closure, but she was concerned that there was no access to a main artery without taking a circuitous route behind the stores or attempt to get out on Bayview Drive, which was extremely difficult.

Ms. Kaye believed the situation would only grow worse with the construction at George English Park and Bayview Elementary School. She stated that the closure was terribly inconvenient and dangerous, especially for elderly people when making a left turn at night. Ms. Kaye pointed out that she had forward petitions containing over 600 names of people opposed to the closure to the City Commission. She noted that 75 people had signed a petition in favor of the closure, but quite a few of those had reversed their positions. Ms. Kaye had also sent traffic counts taken before the closure, and the counts on Seminole Drive had been the lowest of all the streets in Coral Ridge.

Ms. Kaye felt the trial closure had been premature and unnecessary. Since the construction of the Stiles project was over and the building would be occupied within a few months, she felt a traffic count and analysis should be performed then as it would be much more meaningful. If a traffic-calming device was, in fact, necessary, Ms. Kaye did not feel it should be installed until after careful study to the new traffic conditions. She believed that if a traffic calming measure was necessary, everyone would support it, but they could not know it was needed as long as the temporary closure was creating an artificial situation. Ms. Kaye also wished to note that the Association newsletter had included a survey, and of 300 cards returned, 220 were opposed to the closure, so only 23% of the residents who had responded supported it.

Ms. Kaye advised that the Commodore of the Yacht Club had not been able to attend this meeting, so he had asked her to deliver some letters, which she submitted. She also referred to a traffic study that indicated there were fewer cars on Seminole Drive than any other street in the Coral Ridge neighborhood.

Mr. Trey Wohlford said he had lived on 13th Street for many years, and he traveled on Bayview Drive quite a bit. He stated that he disliked street closures in general, but he did not think crime was a real problem in this location. Mr. Wohlford thought the problem with the street closure was that everyone who wanted to go north on Bayview Drive had to turn at the intersection of Sunrise Boulevard. He could not believe the City did not have a count of the traffic in this intersection, but he was sure the count was high and could only get much higher. Mr. Wohlford thought the closure would just make a nice entryway for the new apartment building, and there was probably no need for the signal at 26th Street. He was opposed to the closure.

Mr. Al Massey, 2510 Northeast 13th Street, was opposed to the closure, and he encouraged the Commission to consider the interests of the Coral Ridge neighborhood as a whole. He thought they needed to consider whether or not the closure was even necessary, and the only way to make such a decision was through objective data. Mr. Massey stated that the Keller study showed that traffic counts at other intersections were two or three times higher than the intersection at Seminole Drive. He felt the Commission should examine that kind of data in order to reach an objective decision.

Mr. Massey believed the alternatives suggested by Mr. Aurelius were reasonable. He thought they might work without doing anything as drastic as a closure, and it might pull the neighborhood together. Mr. Massey encouraged the Commission to look at the interests of the entire neighborhood.

Mr. William Glazer, President of the Sunrise Bay Condominium Association, stated that this was not a perfect world, and compromises were sometimes necessary. He felt the existing closure to the north was unacceptable for reasons already stated, and he believed the recommended closure to the south would be a reasonable compromise although not ideal. Mr. Glazer hoped the Commission would support staff's recommendation but, if it did not, he hoped more input would be allowed as to any alternative.

Mr. Brian Leary, immediate past President of the Coral Ridge Homeowners' Association, felt the Commission should support staff's recommendation to place the closure south of 11th Street. He believed a lot of problems would be eliminated by that location, and he favored the closure because of past experience. Mr. Leary stated that when the closure had been installed near the Coral Ridge Mall, crime had decreased by two-thirds, and the crime rate here had been higher before the temporary closure had been installed. He advised that traffic studies showed the speed humps had not deterred cut through traffic with over 1,400 cars cutting through on 12th Street alone each day. Mr. Leary believed this would be a great improvement to the neighborhood as a whole, and he saw no reason for additional study.

Mr. Brad Knight favored the closure south of 11th Street on Seminole Drive. He did not think the speed humps were helping slow traffic at all, and he felt some consideration should be given to pedestrians and bicyclists.

Mr. Joey Taravello also supported the closure. He did not think the speed humps helped at all. He related an incident in which a vehicle had driven through his front yard in order to go around the speed hump without slowing down at all. Mr. Taravello stated that crime had also been reduced since the road had been closed.

Ms. Elizabeth Thomas, a resident of Seminole Drive, supported permanent closure of the road. She stated that crime and traffic had decreased since the temporary closure had been installed, and she suggested a study about a traffic signal at Yacht Club Boulevard and Bayview Drive.

Ms. Fran Hessler said she lived on Seminole Drive, and she asked that some safe way out be provided if the street was going to remain closed. She felt the City was obligated to do so, and she did not feel there had been a true vote taken. Ms. Hessler had just learned about this because she had been out of town, but she believed there should be a vote taken of all the residents so the majority could rule.

Mr. Bob Helmholdt, 1248 Seminole Drive, felt the problem was a troubled and flawed policy that was reflected in this hearing tonight. He stated that this process had inflamed emotions and resulted in exaggerated and unsubstantiated rhetoric. Mr. Helmholdt believed all the Commission had heard tonight had been subjective opinions without any reliable data. He thought that every hindrance that impeded the flow of traffic hurt another area by diverting traffic. He felt this was basically unfair because drivers would always find the easiest route and others suffered. Mr. Helmholdt believed continued road closings would eventually smother the City, and this divisive issue did nothing but create animosity within neighborhoods. He did not feel it was possible to create a gated community that had not been designed in that fashion without encroaching on people's right to use the streets.

Mr. Helmholdt also felt street closures were a win/lose proposition. He pointed out that people were aware of conditions when they purchased their properties, and traffic was one of the factors utilized to determine the market value of real estate. Mr. Helmholdt did not feel the Commission should attempt to deal with conditions people had accepted when they purchased their homes at someone else's expense. He hoped the Commission would start to remove all of the street obstructions and begin by not closing Seminole Drive.

Ms. Margie Helmholdt hoped the Commission would not permanently close her street. She said she had grown up in Fort Lauderdale, and she had seen how street closures had changed the beautiful profile of the City. She pleaded with the Commission not to close the street. Ms. Helmholdt thought there might be unique situations in which closing a street was necessary, but she did not see any reason for this closure. She said she had purchased her property with knowledge that there was access to Sunrise Boulevard, and she hoped the Commission would not take it away.

Mr. Kevin Buckley, representing the Sunrise Shopping Center, remained concerned about access, but he was not opposed to the closure if it was moved south of 11th Street. He believed the proposed solution would work for the shopping center, and staff was working with them to ensure access off Sunrise Boulevard.

Ms. Jan Joyce said she frequently walked her dogs on Seminole Drive, and the street closure had made it much easier. Prior to the street closure, her boat had been broken into, and two cars had been stolen from her driveway. Now, she could walk her dogs in comfort, and crime had decreased. Ms. Joyce thought it would be helpful if the traffic signals were synchronized, but she did not want to be overburdened with the extreme traffic there had been before the temporary closure had been installed.

Mr. Gerald Johnson, 1215 Seminole Drive, favored the recommended closure. He stated that everyone had received notice about this from the Homeowners' Association. Mr. Johnson advised that his wife was a diabetic and had to walk a great deal, and he was no longer worried about her since the closure had been installed. He said the closure had been wonderful for everyone on the street. Mr. Johnson stated that there had been accidents on the S-curve in front of Ms. Kaye's property, and he supported a permanent closure south of 11th Street.

Mr. Bill Ciani, 1617 Middle River Drive, supported the closure. He stated that he had been fortunate to have speed humps installed on his street, and it was heaven. Mr. Ciani said his neighbors felt the same way, and he was happy to give up a short cut if it would help make the lives of those who lived in the area better. He said he was a member of the Coral Ridge Homeowners' Association, and there had not been a public discussion on this subject. Further, many residents had not wanted to fill out the voting cards because of some problems with a past election, and he hoped the Commission understood that view did not represent the majority.

Ms. Stacy Hires, 26 Northeast 11th Court, said she used the cut through twice a day, but she would gladly give it up to see crime reduced. She advised that she and her neighbor had been stalked, and her neighbor supported the closure as well. Ms. Hires said her neighbor's house had been broken into twice; her house had been broken into; and, her car had been broken into three times. Since the street closure, there had been no problems, and she had come to know her neighbors. Ms. Hires agreed that streets were for cars, but neighborhoods were for friends and families.

Ms. Linda Lano, 1111 Seminole Drive, said she had cried on the first night in her home because there had been so much traffic going by her house off Sunrise Boulevard. However, when the road had been closed, everything had improved a great deal. In fact, Ms. Lano said she would have to move if the road was reopened despite the inconvenience because she did not want her children to be run over.

Ms. Alyson Javisian, 1201 Seminole Drive, supported the closure of Seminole Drive south of Northeast 11th Street. She said that relocating the closure would not divert traffic to any other residential street, although it would go past the businesses on a small area of Bayview Drive. Ms. Javisian understood public safety was the Commission's top priority, and she felt a permanent street closure would enhance the quality of life in the neighborhood.

Mr. Greg Newell, 2555 Northeast 11th Street, said he was totally in favor of the closure as were the 300 residents of this two-block street. He advised that the original request had been to close the street south of 11th Street, but it had been closed to the north instead, and all the traffic had been diverted to 11th Street. Mr. Newell recalled promises of speed humps on 11th Street, but they had not been installed. He did not feel any more studies were needed because this was a matter of common sense.

Mr. Larry Littell, 2644 Yacht Club Boulevard, stated that the Seminole Drive Neighborhood Association had been formed, and this was a growing community born of conflict. He did not envy the Commission's job of trying to weigh the needs of a community against the inconveniences of a larger community. However, he hoped the Commission would remember that everyone had to deal with inconveniences, but it was worthwhile to preserve a neighborhood. Mr. Littell hoped the Commission would support the closure in the recommended location.

Motion made by Commissioner Moore and seconded by Commissioner Smith that the public hearing be closed. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Katz, Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

Commissioner Katz wanted to express her appreciation to Peter Partington and Bob Dunckel who had both worked so hard on this issue. She said she had tried to keep an open mind and focus on doing something that would make the greatest number of people happy. Commissioner Katz noted that no solution would please everyone, but she had noticed that over 90% of the people signing petitions opposed to the closure did not live in the area. She believed in neighborhoods, and those who lived in this area were impacted by this traffic. Commissioner Katz advised that she had received various statistics and traffic counts, and she supported the permanent closure of Seminole Drive south of 11th Street.

Mayor Naugle understood a contribution from the Coral Ridge Homeowners' Association would be necessary. Commissioner Katz said she had received a letter indicating that if those funds were not forthcoming, the Seminole community would "step up to the plate." Mayor Naugle asked her if her recommendation to proceed was contingent upon the funding. Commissioner Katz was comfortable with the idea.

Mayor Naugle said he had an e-mail from 1998. It appeared to him that a decision to close the road was made in 1998 before the Stiles project had been approved, and it had been done without a public hearing, which was why the issue was so controversial now. He stated that a deal had been made for the developer to provide \$30,000 if the neighborhood would support the project so that the road could be closed. Mayor Naugle hoped everyone would learn the lesson that a deal made outside the public realm could come back to haunt later. He felt neighborhood support in exchange for a monetary contribution was inappropriate, and he was surprised to hear Mr. Aurelius voice opposition because he had received a copy of the e-mail. Mayor Naugle thought it was unfortunate that the neighborhood had been divided.

Mayor Naugle pointed out that a lot of new development was proposed on Bayview Drive, and he believed it was due to amendments to the Code made in 1995 by a prior Commission that had voted to increase the density of large apartments by 50%. He stated this meant the traffic on Bayview Drive could only become worse and worse, and he hoped this would serve as a lesson to everyone. Mayor Naugle thought the former City Manager had talked the previous Commission into doing something everyone regretted now.

Commissioner Moore said he had been on the Commission in 1995, and he believed those amendments had been beneficial to the community as a whole. He noted that everything had a positive and a negative side, and nothing would prevent developers from doing whatever it took to complete projects, including proposals to civic associations. Commissioner Moore did not think that harmed the process because these matters were considered at public hearings. He also did not believe there had ever been an elected official in Fort Lauderdale who was "in the dark" on any issue since he had been a Commissioner.

Commissioner Moore thought there were some mistakes in the recommendation, although he was glad a compromise had been reached to accommodate the shopping center. He was somewhat startled by the recommendation, however, because he believed it would cause more congestion on Sunrise Boulevard. He felt the closure should be stepped back based upon the discussion at the first public hearing.

Commissioner Katz believed that when the Stiles project was first presented to the Homeowners' Association, everyone had known some sort of traffic modifications would be necessary due to the size of the building. In fact, she believed that was happening all over the City. Insofar as the median, Commissioner Katz understood the County Engineering was working with the City Engineer to address the possibility of "stacking."

Commissioner Katz was concerned about left turns from Yacht Club Boulevard onto Bayview Drive. She hoped that could be addressed in some fashion, although she did not think the County would allow a traffic signal. However, there were other options to making that turn. Mayor Naugle asked that staff provide a recommendation with respect to this turn.

Commissioner Smith was pleased that there was so much community participation in this issue, although he had been surprised at how little there had been when the Stiles project had been approved unanimously by the Commission.

Motion made by Commissioner Katz and seconded by Commissioner Hutchinson to approve the permanent road modification on Seminole Drive, south of Northeast 11th Street, using funds from either the Coral Ridge Homeowners' Association or the Seminole community. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Katz, Smith, Moore and Hutchinson. NAYS: Mayor Naugle.

At 8:55 P.M., the meeting was recessed. It was reconvened at 9:00 P.M.

**Permanent Road Modification –
Northeast 5th Terrace, North of Northeast 13th Street (PH-1)**

A public hearing was scheduled to consider the proposal to make the existing temporary modification of Northeast 5th Terrace, north of Northeast 13th Street, a permanent road modification. Notice of the public hearing was published on April 20 and 27, 2000.

Mayor Naugle called for those who wished to be heard. There were none.

Motion made by Commissioner Smith and seconded by Commissioner Hutchinson that the public hearing be closed. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Katz, Smith, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

Commissioner Smith asked those who were present in support of this item to stand. He thanked the Cooper family for agreeing to fund this closure, which had reduced crime.

At 9:03 P.M., Commissioner Moore returned to the meeting.

Motion made by Commissioner Smith and seconded by Commissioner Hutchinson to approve the permanent road modification for Northeast 5th Terrace, north of Northeast 13th Street. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Katz, Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

**Nonprofit Acquisition and Improvement Loan (NAIL) Program –
Women in Distress, Garden Club, Broward County Community
Development Corporation, and 100 Black Men of Broward County (M-10)**

Commissioner Katz understood the Broward County Community Development Corporation was asking for \$63,525, but the back-up material indicated the project cost was only \$51,336. Ms. Faye Outlaw, Housing & Community Development Manager, explained that the \$51,336 had been the original estimate, but since that time a mold problem had been discovered in the building. Therefore, the request had been increased.

Ms. Sharon Saunders, Executive Director of the Broward County Community Development Corporation, explained that the building had been discovered to be unhealthy, with people becoming ill. She stated that drywall and sheeting rock would have to be replaced, based upon environmental testing, and a certified specialist had estimated the cost to correct. Commissioner Katz asked that a copy of the specialist's findings be provided.

Commissioner Katz referred to the request from 100 Black Men of Broward County. She understood there would be matching funding in the amount of \$25,000, but she had not seen any mention of that in the back-up material. Ms. Outlaw stated that once the total cost was calculated, the organization had to provide 25% of the cost. It was her understanding that this organization was obtaining a private loan for this amount.

Mr. Andre Williams, President of 100 Black Men of Broward County, stated that the organization had contributed acquisition and renovation dollars, and close to \$45,000 had already been spent on planning. Commissioner Katz understood there would be a certain time frame within which the loan had to be repaid. Ms. Outlaw agreed that was correct. On the acquisition, there would be a deferred ten-year term. She advised that the loan would be secured by a mortgage.

Commissioner Katz stated that the comparative market analysis showed a similar building had been acquired for \$249,000, while this building was priced at \$475,000. She said that a banker and a realtor had volunteered to review this issue. Ms. Outlaw explained that an appraisal was typically required, and the City would contribute 75% of the appraised value. At this time, the property had not yet been appraised. Mr. Williams assured Commissioner Katz that the CDC would thoroughly review the issue, but the building being considered was almost twice the size of the \$249,000 building. In addition, there was some adjacent land for extra parking.

Mayor Naugle thought it was a great idea to have an independent review and suggested this item be deferred to the next meeting. Ms. Outlaw stated that no monies would be released until a formal appraisal had been performed. Commissioner Moore suggested the Commission proceed with the understanding that if the appraisal did not support the price, a different amount would be offered based upon the appraised value. Commissioner Smith felt that was a reasonable approach, particularly since it appeared the price per square foot was comparable to the others in the area.

Commissioner Smith said he wanted to support this because of the valuable programs offered to youth in the community by this organization because they were so very necessary in this area. He was concerned about the adjacent vacant lot, however, and wanted a commitment this evening that it would be maintained. Commissioner Katz said she would be satisfied as long as the amount was based upon a formal appraisal.

Motion made by Commissioner Smith and seconded by Commissioner Moore that Consent Agenda Item No. M-10 be approved as recommended and discussed. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Katz, Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

**Memorandum of Understanding – Motorola –
Public Safety Mobile Reporting Project (Forte
Laptop Units/Panasonic CF-27 Laptop Units) (M-13)**

Commissioner Katz said there had been a “computer person” at her district meeting last night who had indicated it would be a good idea of a few officers took a few of the newer Panasonics into the field to see how they worked. The Police Chief advised that there were 25 officers using the new laptops already, and they had been an unqualified success.

Commissioner Katz wondered how all the rest of the computers would be replaced. The Police Chief advised the Police Department would end up with 195 mobile computing devices. It was also not unlikely that federal grant monies would be sought to acquire additional units, but he believed there would be enough for anyone working in a vehicle. Commissioner Katz understood the Police Chief would not be seeking another 100 units in the future. The Police Chief clarified that he would not be seeking any more City funds for the purpose.

Commissioner Moore wondered if there were any Motorola representatives present. There were not. Commissioner Smith speculated that they were probably afraid Commissioner Moore would initiate more negotiations. Commissioner Moore agreed that had been his intent. Commissioner Smith wanted to thank Commissioner Moore for raising the issue at the last meeting because a more reasonable compromise had been reached since that time.

Motion made by Commissioner Katz and seconded by Commissioner Smith that Consent Agenda Item No. M-13 be approved as recommended. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Katz, Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

**Transfer of General Fund Contingencies and
Donation of Permit Fees and Inspection Charges –
City of Fort Lauderdale Housing Authority –
Sanitary Sewer Repairs (M-14)**

Commissioner Katz understood the Housing Authority wanted the City to pay the permit fees, and she wondered if this was something that had been done before. The City Engineer did not recall permit fees being charged to the Housing Authority in the past, which might have been an oversight. In this case, permits were required, but the work was very similar to the City's sanitary sewer work. Therefore, Construction Services and Engineering were working together to permit and oversee this work. Basically, the Housing Authority was being allowed to “piggy-back” on the City's annual sanitary sewer repair contract.

Commissioner Katz asked how much money the City contributed to the Housing Authority each year. Mr. Pete Witschen, Assistant City Manager, said the only contribution he was aware of from the City had been through funding for the Step Up Program. He advised that inspection fees had not been imposed in the past, and he understood the permit fees here would be about 10% of the construction cost. The City Engineer said he could not address permit fees, but the inspection costs amounted to about 5% of the construction cost.

Commissioner Katz understood \$15,500 for plumbing permits and \$12,500 for engineering inspections were estimated. She wondered if there was any reason the Housing Authority could not pay these usual fees. The City Manager explained that the Housing Authority worked with limited funds, and the population they served were those who needed housing assistance. He stated that imposing these fees would only take resources away from an already “strapped” agency. He said this was a public policy issue for the Commission to decide, although he felt it would be in the public’s best interest to fund the fees.

Commissioner Smith thought it would be helpful if a Conference discussion was scheduled so the Commission could better understand the role of the Housing Authority. Commissioner Moore concurred and agreed with the City Manager. He recalled that the Commission had decided to assist non-profit organizations in providing affordable housing in Fort Lauderdale.

Commissioner Katz thought this type of expense should be included in the budget in the future because she was uncomfortable with continually “dipping” into General Fund Contingencies. The City Manager understood her discomfort, but he felt this was the type of expense the Contingency Fund was for because the need could not always be anticipated in advance. He added that a Conference discussion could be scheduled, which might help identify future needs.

Motion made by Commissioner Moore and seconded by Commissioner Smith that Consent Agenda Item No. M-14 be approved as recommended. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Katz, Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

**Change Order No. 6 – Interstate Engineering Corporation –
Project 9365A – G. T. Lohmeyer Sludge Dewatering
Facility Renovations** (M-15)

Commissioner Katz referred to the new roofing system. She understood a 10-year warranty was provided, but a 20-year warranty had been requested. In order to have that, another \$7,100 expense was necessary. She asked if the contractor or the roofing company was providing the warranty, and she wondered if a bond would be in order because the roofing company would probably not be around in twenty years.

Mr. Frank Coulter, Public Services Department, stated that the general contractor was usually responsible for the warranty. Mayor Naugle noted that the additional expense was not for the warranty but for different materials to be utilized. Mr. Coulter stated that the 10-year roof had been requested in error because City standards required a 20-year roof. He added that the manufacturer would also be involved in the warranty.

Commissioner Moore was concerned that the original specifications had called for a 10-year warranty because that could have affected the other bids submitted. Mr. Coulter agreed seeking change orders was difficult. Commissioner Smith recalled that this roof had to be replaced because it had been built too low to get the filter presses into the building. Mayor Naugle believed Hazen & Sawyer had done that design. Commissioner Smith wanted to make sure the roof would be high enough this time. Mr. Coulter advised that the presses had been replaced.

Motion made by Commissioner Moore and seconded by Commissioner Hutchinson that Consent Agenda Item No. M-15 be approved as recommended. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Katz, Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

**Task Order No. 9 – Hazen and Sawyer – Project 9918 –
Fiveash Water Treatment Plant Piping Consolidation (M-18)**

Commissioner Hutchinson understood \$30,000 was for “pot holing” services, but she wondered what the \$54,300 expense was for. Mr. Frank Coulter, Public Services Department, stated that the task was to put together all of the drawings from the 1950s. It would cost \$30,000 to dig the holes and do what was necessary to locate the underground piping and then to load it into a computer CADD system for future use.

Motion made by Commissioner Moore and seconded by Commissioner Hutchinson that Consent Agenda Item No. M-18 be approved as recommended. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Katz, Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

**Purchase of Property – Beulah M. Williams –
Wingate Road Site Remediation Project –
2929 Northwest 13th Street (M-20)**

Commissioner Katz had noticed that the cost of this property and the one in the next item were wildly different from others although they were located on the same street. She suggested this item be deferred to someone could take another look at the appraisals. Commissioner Moore pointed out that this property was near the Wingate site, and the price of each site was only 10% over the appraised value. He noted that the owners had all sorts of legal opportunities, and that was a door he did not care to open, particularly since these were voluntary sales.

Mayor Naugle inquired about the acceptance date on the contracts. Mr. Greg Kisela, Assistant City Manager, advised the acceptance date was May 15, 2000. Mayor Naugle pointed out that the Commission’s next meeting would not occur until May 16, 2000, and he would examine the appraisals himself before signing the purchase contracts. Commissioner Moore understood Commissioner Katz’s concern, and he hoped staff could provide her with information about the different types of improvements on the different properties.

Motion made by Commissioner Moore and seconded by Commissioner Hutchinson that Consent Agenda Item No. M-20 be approved as recommended. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Katz, Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

**Purchase of Property – Dennis R. Wood, Trustee –
Wingate Road Site Remediation Project –
2941 Northwest 13th Street (M-21)**

Motion made by Commissioner Moore and seconded by Commissioner Hutchinson that Consent Agenda Item No. M-21 be approved as recommended. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Katz, Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

**Police Professional Liability Settlement –
File No. PPL 94-870 (William Truman Gray, Jr.) (M-25)**

A motion was presented authorizing the settlement of Police Professional Liability File No. PPL 94-870 (William Truman Gray, Jr.) in the amount of \$50,000.

Motion made by Commissioner Moore and seconded by Commissioner Hutchinson to accept the settlement of Police Professional Liability File No. PPL 94-870 (William Truman Gray, Jr.) in the amount of \$50,000. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Katz, Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

Amend Ordinance No. C-98-8 –

Peninsular Properties (PZ Case No. 92-R-97) (O-1)

A public hearing was scheduled to consider an ordinance amending the conditions of Ordinance No. C-98-8, which approved the application of a prior zoning regulation to permit property to be used for certain uses permitted in a prior zoning district pursuant to ULDR Section 47-26.A. Ordinance No. C-00-23 was published on April 6 and 13, 2000, and was passed on first reading at the Regular Meeting of April 18, 2000 by a vote of 5 to 0.

Applicant: Peninsular Properties
Location: 1800-1900 Northwest 19th Street

Commissioner Moore introduced the following ordinance on second reading, as amended:

ORDINANCE NO. C-00-23

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. C-98-8, WHICH APPROVED THE APPLICATION OF A PRIOR ZONING REGULATION TO PERMIT PROPERTY KNOWN AS PARCEL "A", BLOCK 2, "LAUDERDALE MANORS, 19TH STREET", AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 47, PAGE 8 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA, TOGETHER WITH THAT PORTION OF N.W. 16TH AVENUE AS VACATED BY CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE ORDINANCE C-85-43, RECORDED IN O.R. BOOK 12602, PAGE 611 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA, LOCATED AT 1800-1900 N.W. 19TH STREET, WHICH PROPERTY IS ZONED B-2 TO BE USED FOR CERTAIN USES PERMITTED IN A B-3-C ZONING DISTRICT, PURSUANT TO SECTION 47-26.A. OF THE UNIFIED LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS; TO REVISE RESTRICTIONS RELATED TO PARKING OF VEHICLES AND OUTSIDE STORAGE OF MOVING VANS.

Which ordinance was read by title only. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Katz, Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

Amendment to the Pay Plan (O-2)

An ordinance was presented amending Schedule I of the Pay Plan of the City of Fort Lauderdale to provide for title changes, the creation of new classes, pay range adjustments within classes, and title changes and pay range adjustments within classes. Notice of the proposed ordinance was published on April 22, 2000.

Commissioner Moore introduced the following ordinance on first reading:

ORDINANCE NO. C-00-24

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SCHEDULE I OF THE PAY PLAN OF THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, PROVIDING FOR TITLE CHANGES, THE CREATION OF NEW CLASSES, PAY RANGE ADJUSTMENTS WITHIN CLASSES, AND FOR TITLE CHANGES AND PAY RANGE ADJUSTMENTS WITHIN CLASSES.

Which ordinance was read by title only. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Katz, Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

Amend Section 47-3 – Nonconforming Uses, Structures, and Lots – Development Review Committee Thresholds for Change of Use Applications (PZ Case No. 1-T-00a) (O-3)

At the regular meeting of the Planning & Zoning Board on January 20, 2000, it was recommended by a vote of 8 to 0 that the following application be approved. This ordinance would amend the ULDR Section 47-3, "Nonconforming Uses, Structures and Lots," to revise the process for permitting a change in use that does not require Development Review Committee (DRC) review. Notice of the proposed ordinance was published on April 1, 2000. On April 11, 2000, the City Commission deferred first reading to May 2, 2000 by a vote of 5 to 0.

Applicant: City of Fort Lauderdale
Request: Amend ULDR Section 47-3, Nonconforming Uses, Structures and Lots to revise the process for permitting a change in use that does not require DRC review

Commissioner Smith felt this was a worthy ordinance, but he felt there should be the ability for Commission call-up of these matters. Ms. Cecelia Hollar, Construction Services Director, explained that when the ULDR was adopted in 1997, it had required that a change in the use of an existing structure be reviewed by the DRC regardless of other "triggers." Over the course of the past year, input from the community had indicated this was a major burden for owners of existing structures. Ms. Hollar stated that the change would allow for only a zoning review to verify that a use was permitted without need for formal site plan review. She advised that staff could examine the idea of a Commission call-up before second reading of the ordinance, although she did not know how the mechanism could trigger a call-up.

Commissioner Smith believed this would be beneficial in most cases, but he was concerned that an individual could turn an office into an adult business, for example. Ms. Hollar explained that if a building was within 100' of residential uses, a DRC review would be triggered, but staff could look into the idea.

Commissioner Katz shared these concerns. She felt there should be some type of mechanism to address cases when necessary. Mr. Scott Miller, Development Review Coordinator, explained that if someone proposed a new building today that was not within 100' of residential property and was less than 5,000 square feet, DRC review was not required. The intent of this modification was to treat existing buildings in the same fashion.

Commissioner Moore thought the modification made sense, but there were situations that could arise that made Commission call-up sensible as well. Mayor Naugle thought a 30-day call-up period could cause problems when people were trying to lease their properties to new tenants. Ms. Hollar agreed this had been a major issue with the real estate industry. Commissioner Smith suggested a shortened call-up period. It was the consensus of the Commission to ask staff to examine this issue prior to second reading of the ordinance.

Commissioner Moore introduced the following ordinance on first reading:

ORDINANCE NO. C-00-25

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE UNIFIED LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS OF THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, AMENDING SECTION 47-3, NONCONFORMING USES, STRUCTURES AND LOTS, TO REVISE THE PROCESS FOR PERMITTING A CHANGE IN USE THAT DOES NOT REQUIRE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE REVIEW.

Which ordinance was read by title only. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Katz, Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

Vacate Drainage Easement – Palmdale

Realty Associates – (PZ Case No. 7-M-99) (R-1)

A resolution was presented authorizing the vacation and relocation of a 24-foot drainage easement, as follows:

Applicant: Palmdale Realty Associates
Request: Relocate 24-foot drainage easement to allow for proposed development of the site
Location: 1150 State Road 84, north of Southwest 26th Street

Commissioner Moore introduced a written resolution entitled:

RESOLUTION NO. 00-55

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, VACATING THAT CERTAIN 24 FOOT WIDE DRAINAGE EASEMENT AS RECORDED IN OFFICIAL RECORD BOOK 6004, PAGE 482, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA, LOCATED BETWEEN STATE ROAD 84 AND SOUTHWEST 26TH STREET, WEST OF SOUTHWEST 9TH AVENUE AND EAST OF SOUTHWEST 15TH AVENUE, LYING AND BEING IN THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA.

Which resolution was read by title only. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Katz, Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

**Enhancement Fund Applications and Priority –
Broward County Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) (R-2)**

A resolution was presented authorizing the application for “Enhancement Funds” for certain projects within the City to the Broward County MPO. Commissioner Smith said, as a member of the MPO, that one of the largest problems with the unfounded list was that it did not stay the same each year. Rather, the list was reprioritized every year and projects often did not make their way up to the top of the list. He was hopeful he and Commissioner Katz could encourage the MPO to keep the projects in the same order in the future.

Commissioner Moore introduced a written resolution entitled:

RESOLUTION NO. 00-56

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, AUTHORIZING THE PROPER CITY OFFICIALS TO SUBMIT APPLICATIONS TO THE BROWARD COUNTY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION FOR FUNDING OF PROJECTS UNDER THE ENHANCEMENT FUNDS PROGRAM.

Which resolution was read by title only. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Katz, Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

**Extension of the Internet Moratorium/
Internet Tax Freedom Act of 1998 (R-3)**

A resolution expressing opposition to the extension of the Internet moratorium, which was passed in the Internet Tax Freedom Act of 1998 and is set to expire in October, 2001.

Commissioner Katz understood no one had a clue how to deal with this issue. She wondered if it would sense to give it more time. Mayor Naugle stated that the “Big 6” public interest groups had formulated a plan to simplify, and the League of Cities had stopped referring to as Internet Tax. Rather, it was referred to as e-commerce equity now, and a plan had been formulated, but the industry was keeping the moratorium alive. Mayor Naugle thought that allowing more time would only make the problem more difficult to correct.

Commissioner Moore thought it made sense for the Commission to adopt this resolution. He agreed the National League of Cities was addressing this issue through its Information Technology and Communications Committee, and he felt this resolution would validate a level playing field between retail merchants and Internet merchants. Commissioner Moore felt this was an unfair trade practice, and something had to be done. Mr. Bud Bentley, Assistant City Manager, noted that the current moratorium would terminate in more than a year, and the National League of Cities did not feel there was any need to extend it further.

Commissioner Moore introduced a written resolution entitled:

RESOLUTION NO. 00-57

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, OPPOSING ANY CONGRESSIONAL EFFORTS TO EXPAND OR EXTEND THE CURRENT MORATORIUM PASSED AS PART OF THE INTERNET TAX FREEDOM ACT OF 1998.

Which resolution was read by title only. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Katz, Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

Emergency Room Facility on Barrier Island (Beach) – Closing of Cleveland Clinic..... (R-4)

A resolution was presented urging the maintenance of an emergency room facility on the barrier island (beach) subsequent to the closing of Cleveland Clinic, as requested by Commissioner Smith.

Commissioner Moore introduced a written resolution entitled:

RESOLUTION NO. 00-58

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, STRONGLY URGING THE MAINTENANCE OF AN EMERGENCY ROOM FACILITY ON THE BARRIER ISLAND FOLLOWING THE CLOSING OF THE CLEVELAND CLINIC.

Which resolution was read by title only. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Katz, Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

State Road 84 – Pending Items List (OB)

Commissioner Hutchinson had some concerns about the date State Road 84 would be addressed on the Pending Items List. She understood the target date was June, 2001, but there was a lot of development going on, and there was little in place to address it. Commissioner Smith had not examined the items in front of it, but he thought it would be a good idea to address it. Mayor Naugle suggested a target date of January, 2001.

Commissioner Moore hoped the Commission would be cautious in changing the dates. Commissioner Katz noted that Item 12 had been moved to Item 16 on the List. She asked what "VUA" meant. Mr. Chris Wren, Planning & Zoning Manager, explained that VUA was the zoning designation for vehicular use areas. He stated that Item 12 had been moved back to Item 16 because there had been some difficulties encountered in hiring another Planner II. Mr. Wren explained that the List was updated from time to time so the Commission would have reasonably accurate completion dates.

Mr. Wren stated that past policy had been that new items went to the end of the list, but he suggested that the Commission could move it up, perhaps to Item 22 or Item 24. Commissioner Hutchinson asked if that would mean this could be addressed by September of this year. Mr. Wren agreed that would be the goal, and the other Items would be moved down accordingly.

Commissioner Smith had thought the Commission would be considering the issue of boat hoists and davits in May. Mr. Wren advised it was being presented to the Marine Advisory Board in May, and it would be presented to the City Commission in June.

Commissioner Katz suggested that instead of moving Item 31 to Item 22 and pushing everything else back, the two items just be switched. It was agreed.

Motion made by Commissioner Moore and seconded by Commissioner Smith to approve switching Item 31 with Item 22 on the Pending Items List. Roll call showed: YEAS: Commissioners Katz, Smith, Moore, Hutchinson, and Mayor Naugle. NAYS: none.

At 10:00 P.M., Mayor Naugle adjourned the meeting.

Jim Naugle, Mayor

ATTEST:

Lucy Masliah, City Clerk